



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,234	12/01/2003	Erik Lilliebjerg	NVID-P000638	3722

7590 02/15/2006

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Third Floor
Two North Market Street
San Jose, CA 95113

EXAMINER

BROWN, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2116

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/726,234	Applicant(s) LILLIEBJERG, ERIK	
	Examiner Michael J. Brown	Art Unit 2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 references a "system master (SM) buss controller" while the specification references the same item as a "system management (SM) bus controller". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schieve(US Patent 6,018,808).

As to claim 1, Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap system comprising a bus(host bus 16, see Fig. 1) for communicating information, a non-volatile memory(ROM 20, see Fig. 1) communicatively coupled to the bus, the non-volatile memory for storing boot up information including information associated with an interrupt vector table(see column 3, lines 57-61), and a processor(microprocessor 10, see Fig. 1) communicatively coupled to the non-volatile memory the processor configured to retrieve the boot up information from the non-volatile memory including retrieving the information associated with the interrupt vector table(see column 4, lines 32-34), and perform multi- tasking operations while accessing serial presence detect information during boot up operations prior to completing volatile memory initialization(see column 4, lines 40-44).

As to claim 2 Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein the non-volatile memory is a read only memory(see column 3, line 39).

As to claim 3, Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein the bus is a system management bus(see column 3, line 38).

As to claim 7, Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein the non-volatile memory includes basic input/output system instructions that direct the processor in performing an interrupt driven initialization of a volatile memory and multi-tasking operations between interrupt operations(see column 3, lines 43-61).

3. Claims 8-9, and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skrovan et al.(US Patent 6,016,554).

As to claim 8, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method comprising accessing interrupt vector table(see column 2, line 18) information stored in a non volatile memory(memory module 16, see Fig. 1) initializing a program interrupt controller (PIC)(control register 26, see Fig. 2)(see column 2, lines 9-14), programming a system management bus controller(control signal generator 24, see Fig. 2), and operating the system management bus controller in a multitasking environment in which the system management bus controller operates in an interrupt driven mode prior to completing volatile memory initialization, wherein the operating the system management bus controller includes retrieving serial presence detect data(trigger events, see column 8, line 3)(see column 7, line 66- column 8, line 14).

As to claim 9, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the interrupt vector table information is accessed when an interrupt indication is triggered(see column 7, line 67- column 8, line 3).

As to claim 11, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the interrupt vector table information is accessed at a pre-memory initialization stage when a system is started up(see column 2, lines 17-25).

As to claim 12, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the interrupt vector table information is accessed before completing random access memory initialization(see column 2, lines 17-25).

As to claim 13, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the interrupt vector table information is accessed as a processor(microprocessor model 12, see Fig. 1) is performing initial basic input/output system operations (BIOS), including during a power on self test (POST)(see column 9, lines 17-26).

As to claim 14, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the programming of the system management bus controller includes initializing the system management bus controller(see column 7, line 64- column 8, line 3).

As to claim 15, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the system management bus programming includes slamming system management bus resource addresses(see column 9, lines 56-59).

As to claim 16, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein multi-tasking operations are executed while processes for retrieving the serial presence detect data are performed(see column 7, line 67- column 8, line 8).

As to claim 17, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method further comprising providing a location where serial presence detect data is located, retrieving the serial presence detect data in an interrupt driven mode, performing multi-tasking

operations while waiting for the serial presence detect data to be retrieved, and generating an interrupt when the serial presence detect data is retrieved(see column 7, line 7- column 8, line 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
4. Claims 4-6, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shrieve(US Patent 6,018,808) further in view of Skrovan et al.(US Patent 6,016,554).

As to claim 4, Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap system as cited in claim 3 and explained above. However, Schieve fails to disclose a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein communications via the system management bus are

controlled by a system management bus controller operating in an interrupt driven mode.

Skrovan teaches a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein communications via the system management bus are controlled by a system management bus controller(control signal generator 24, see Fig. 2) operating in an interrupt driven mode. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions of Schieve and Skrovan in order to add a bus controller to Schieve's system in order to control operations on the system management bus. The motivation to do so would be to better control interrupt signals traveling on the system management bus.

As to claim 5, Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap system as cited in claim 3 and explained above. However, Schieve fails to disclose a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein the system management bus communicates serial presence detect data in accordance with directions from a system management controller operating in an interrupt driven mode.

Skrovan teaches a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein the system management bus communicates serial presence detect data(trigger events, see column 8, line 3) in accordance with directions from a system management bus controller operating in an interrupt driven mode(see column 7, line 66- column 8, line 6).

As to claim 6, Skrovan teaches a multi-tasking bootstrap system wherein the serial presence detect data includes memory description information(see column 8, lines 3-6).

As to claim 18, Schieve discloses a computer system comprising a display device(device 42, see Fig. 2) coupled to a bus(host bus 16, see Fig. 1), a non-volatile memory unit(ROM 20, see Fig. 1) coupled to the bus, and a processor(microprocessor 10, see Fig. 1) coupled to the bus, the processor for executing a method of multitasking boot up initialization processes(see column 4, lines 40-44). Schieve discloses the method comprising initializing the processor to access interrupt vector table information stored in the non-volatile memory unit(see column 4, lines 32-34), and initializing a program interrupt controller (PIC)(PIC 32, see Fig. 2).

However, Schieve fails to disclose programming a system management bus controller, and operating the system management bus controller in a multitasking environment in which the system management bus controller operates in an interrupt driven mode prior to completing volatile memory initialization, wherein the operating the system management bus controller includes retrieving serial presence detect data.

Skrovan teaches programming a system management bus controller(control signal generator 24, see Fig. 2), and operating the system management bus controller in a multitasking environment in which the system management bus controller operates in an interrupt driven mode prior to completing volatile memory initialization, wherein the operating the system management bus controller includes retrieving serial presence detect data(trigger events, see column 8, line 3)(see column 7, line 66- column 8, line 14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions of Schieve and Skrovan in order to create the Schieve's computer system including a multitasking bootstrap method which

uses a system management bus controller. The motivation to do so would be to better control interrupt signals traveling on the system management bus.

As to claim 19, Skrovan teaches a multi-tasking bootstrap creation process further comprising providing a location where serial presence detect is located, retrieving the serial presence detect data in an interrupt driven mode, performing multi-tasking operations while waiting for the serial presence detect data to be retrieved, and generating an interrupt when the serial presence detect data is retrieved(see column 7, line 7- column 8, line 14).

As to claim 20, Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap creation process further comprising temporarily storing serial presence detect data in a processor cache(see column 3, lines 43-55).

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skrovan et al.(US Patent 6,016,554) further in view of Schieve(US Patent 6,018,808).

As to claim 10, Skrovan discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method as cited in claim 8 and explained above. However Skrovan fails to disclose a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the non-volatile memory is a read only memory (ROM).

Schieve discloses a multi-tasking bootstrap method wherein the non-volatile memory is a read only memory (ROM 20, see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to combine the inventions of Skrovan and Schieve in order to implement use of read only memory within Shorvan's bootstrap

Art Unit: 2116

method. The motivation to do so would be properly store instructions that need not be modified.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-5932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00am to 3:30pm(EST).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIRS) system. Status information for the published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications are available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Brown
Art Unit 2116


LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100